

(e) **PUBLICATION.**—Upon submission of an annual report to Congress, the Secretary shall make the annual report publicly available, including through publication on the Internet.

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—The term “annual report” means a report required by subsection (a).

(2) **FEASIBILITY REPORT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term “feasibility report” means a final feasibility report developed under section 905 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282).

(B) **INCLUSIONS.**—The term “feasibility report” includes—

(i) a report described in section 105(d)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d)(2)); and

(ii) where applicable, any associated report of the Chief of Engineers.

(3) **FEASIBILITY STUDY.**—The term “feasibility study” has the meaning given that term in section 105 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215).

(4) **NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.**—The term “non-Federal interest” has the meaning given that term in section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b).

SEC. 7002. AUTHORIZATION OF FINAL FEASIBILITY STUDIES.

The following final feasibility studies for water resources development and conservation and other purposes are authorized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plan, and subject to the conditions, described in the respective reports designated in this section:

(1) **NAVIGATION.**—

A. STATE	B. NAME	C. DATE OF REPORT OF CHIEF OF ENGINEERS	D. ESTIMATED COSTS
1. TX, LA	Sabine Naches Waterway, Southeast Texas and Southwest Louisiana	July 22, 2011	Federal: \$748,070,000 Non-Federal: \$365,970,000 Total: \$1,114,040,000
2. FL	Jacksonville Harbor-Milepoint	Apr. 30, 2012	Federal: \$27,870,000 Non-Federal: \$9,290,000 Total: \$37,160,000
3. GA	Savannah Harbor Expansion Project	Aug. 17, 2012	Federal: \$492,000,000 Non-Federal: \$214,000,000 Total: \$706,000,000
4. TX	Freeport Harbor	Jan. 7, 2013	Federal: \$121,000,000 Non-Federal: \$118,300,000 Total: \$239,300,000
5. FL	Canaveral Harbor (Sect 203 Sponsor Report)	Feb. 25, 2013	Federal: \$29,240,000 Non-Federal: \$11,830,000 Total: \$41,070,000
6. MA	Boston Harbor	Sept. 30, 2013	Federal: \$216,470,000 Non-Federal: \$94,510,000 Total: \$310,980,000

A. STATE	B. NAME	C. DATE OF REPORT OF CHIEF OF ENGI- NEERS	D. ESTIMATED COSTS
7. FL	Lake Worth Inlet	Apr. 16, 2014	Federal: \$57,556,000 Non-Federal: \$30,975,000 Total: \$88,531,000
8. FL	Jacksonville Harbor	Apr. 16, 2014	Federal: \$362,000,000 Non-Federal: \$238,900,000 Total: \$600,900,000

(2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

A. STATE	B. NAME	C. DATE OF REPORT OF CHIEF OF ENGI- NEERS	D. ESTIMATED COSTS
1. KS	Topeka	Aug. 24, 2009	Federal: \$17,360,000 Non-Federal: \$9,350,000 Total: \$26,710,000
2. CA	American River Watershed, Common Features Project, Natomas Basin	Dec. 30, 2010	Federal: \$760,630,000 Non-Federal: \$386,650,000 Total: \$1,147,280,000
3. IA	Cedar River, Cedar Rapids	Jan. 27, 2011	Federal: \$73,130,000 Non-Federal: \$39,380,000 Total: \$112,510,000
4. MN, ND	Fargo-Moorhead Metro	Dec. 19, 2011	Federal: \$846,700,000 Non-Federal: \$1,077,600,000 Total: \$1,924,300,000
5. KY	Ohio River Shoreline, Paducah	May 16, 2012	Federal: \$13,170,000 Non-Federal: \$7,090,000 Total: \$20,260,000
6. MO	Jordan Creek, Springfield	Aug. 26, 2013	Federal: \$13,560,000 Non-Federal: \$7,300,000 Total: \$20,860,000
7. CA	Orestimba Creek, San Joaquin River Basin	Sept. 25, 2013	Federal: \$23,680,000 Non-Federal: \$21,650,000 Total: \$45,330,000
8. CA	Sutter Basin	Mar. 12, 2014	Federal: \$255,270,000 Non-Federal: \$433,660,000 Total: \$688,930,000
9. NV	Truckee Meadows	Apr. 11, 2014	Federal: \$181,652,000 Non-Federal: \$99,168,000 Total: \$280,820,000

(3) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

<i>A. STATE</i>	<i>B. NAME</i>	<i>C. DATE OF REPORT OF CHIEF OF ENGINEERS</i>	<i>D. ESTIMATED INITIAL COSTS AND ESTIMATED RENOURISHMENT COSTS</i>
1. NC	West Onslow Beach and New River Inlet (Topsail Beach)	Sept. 28, 2009	Initial Federal: \$29,900,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$16,450,000 Initial Total: \$46,350,000 Renourishment Federal: \$69,410,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$69,410,000 Renourishment Total: \$138,820,000
2. NC	Surf City and North Topsail Beach	Dec. 30, 2010	Initial Federal: \$84,770,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$45,650,000 Initial Total: \$130,420,000 Renourishment Federal: \$122,220,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$122,220,000 Renourishment Total: \$244,440,000
3. CA	San Clemente Shoreline	Apr. 15, 2012	Initial Federal: \$7,420,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$3,990,000 Initial Total: \$11,410,000 Renourishment Federal: \$43,835,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$43,835,000 Renourishment Total: \$87,670,000
4. FL	Walton County	July 16, 2013	Initial Federal: \$17,945,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$46,145,000 Initial Total: \$64,090,000 Renourishment Federal: \$24,740,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$82,820,000 Renourishment Total: \$107,560,000
5. LA	Morganza to the Gulf	July 8, 2013	Federal: \$6,695,400,000 Non-Federal: \$3,604,600,000 Total: \$10,300,000,000

(4) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.—

<i>A. STATE</i>	<i>B. NAME</i>	<i>C. DATE OF REPORT OF CHIEF OF ENGINEERS</i>	<i>D. ESTIMATED COSTS</i>
1. MS	Mississippi Coastal Improvement Program (MSCIP) Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson Counties	Sept. 15, 2009	Federal: \$693,300,000 Non-Federal: \$373,320,000 Total: \$1,066,620,000

(5) ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.—

<i>A. STATE</i>	<i>B. NAME</i>	<i>C. DATE OF REPORT OF CHIEF OF ENGINEERS</i>	<i>D. ESTIMATED COSTS</i>
1. MD	Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island	Aug. 24, 2009	Federal: \$1,240,750,000 Non-Federal: \$668,100,000 Total: \$1,908,850,000

A. STATE	B. NAME	C. DATE OF REPORT OF CHIEF OF ENGINEERS	D. ESTIMATED COSTS
2. FL	Central and Southern Florida Project, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Caloosahatchee River (C-43) West Basin Storage Project, Hendry County	Mar. 11, 2010 and Jan. 6, 2011	Federal: \$313,300,000 Non-Federal: \$313,300,000 Total: \$626,600,000
3. LA	Louisiana Coastal Area	Dec. 30, 2010	Federal: \$1,026,000,000 Non-Federal: \$601,000,000 Total: \$1,627,000,000
4. MN	Marsh Lake	Dec. 30, 2011	Federal: \$6,760,000 Non-Federal: \$3,640,000 Total: \$10,400,000
5. FL	Central and Southern Florida Project, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, C-111 Spreader Canal Western Project	Jan. 30, 2012	Federal: \$87,280,000 Non-Federal: \$87,280,000 Total: \$174,560,000
6. FL	CERP Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetland, Florida	May 2, 2012	Federal: \$98,510,000 Non-Federal: \$98,510,000 Total: \$197,020,000
7. FL	Central and Southern Florida Project, Broward County Water Preserve Area	May 21, 2012	Federal: \$448,070,000 Non-Federal: \$448,070,000 Total: \$896,140,000
8. LA	Louisiana Coastal Area-Barataria Basin Barrier	June 22, 2012	Federal: \$321,750,000 Non-Federal: \$173,250,000 Total: \$495,000,000
9. NC	Neuse River Basin	Apr. 23, 2013	Federal: \$23,830,000 Non-Federal: \$12,830,000 Total: \$36,660,000
10. VA	Lynnhaven River	Mar. 27, 2014	Federal: \$22,821,500 Non-Federal: \$12,288,500 Total: \$35,110,000
11. OR	Willamette River Floodplain Restoration	Jan. 6, 2014	Federal: \$27,401,000 Non-Federal: \$14,754,000 Total: \$42,155,000

SEC. 7003. AUTHORIZATION OF PROJECT MODIFICATIONS RECOMMENDED BY THE SECRETARY.

The following project modifications for water resources development and conservation and other purposes are authorized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the recommendations of the Secretary, as specified in the letters referred to in this section:

A. STATE	B. NAME	C. DATE OF SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION LETTER	D. UPDATED AUTHORIZATION PROJECT COSTS
1. MN	Roseau River	Jan. 24, 2013	Estimated Federal: \$25,455,000 Estimated non-Federal: \$18,362,000 Total: \$43,817,000
2. IL	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction	May 7, 2013	Estimated Federal: \$16,678,000 Estimated non-Federal: \$8,980,000 Total: \$25,658,000
3. TX	Corpus Christi Ship Channel	Aug. 8, 2013	Estimated Federal: \$182,582,000 Estimated non-Federal: \$170,649,000 Total: \$353,231,000
4. IA	Des Moines River and Racoon River Project	Feb. 12, 2014	Estimated Federal: \$14,990,300 Estimated non-Federal: \$8,254,700 Total: \$23,245,000
5. MD	Poplar Island	Feb. 26, 2014	Estimated Federal: \$868,272,000 Estimated non-Federal: \$365,639,000 Total: \$1,233,911,000
6. IL	Lake Michigan (Chicago Shoreline)	Mar. 18, 2014	Estimated Federal: \$185,441,000 Estimated non-Federal: \$355,105,000 Total: \$540,546,000
7. NE	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek	Mar. 20, 2014	Estimated Federal: \$28,128,800 Estimated non-Federal: \$15,146,300 Total: \$43,275,100
8. MO	Cape Girardeau	Apr. 14, 2014	Estimated Federal: \$17,687,000 Estimated non-Federal: \$746,000 Total: \$18,433,000

SEC. 7004. EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE.

(a) CONSIDERATION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—

(1) DEFINITION OF INTERIM AUTHORIZATION BILL.—*In this subsection, the term “interim authorization bill” means a bill of the 113th Congress introduced after the date of enactment of this Act in the House of Representatives by the chair of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure which—*

(A) has the following title: “A bill to provide for the authorization of certain water resources development or conservation projects outside the regular authorization cycle.”; and

(B) only contains—

(i) authorization for 1 or more water resources development or conservation projects for which a final report of the Chief of Engineers has been completed; or

(ii) deauthorization for 1 or more water resources development or conservation projects.

(2) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.—*If an interim authorization bill is not reported by a committee to which it is referred within 30 calendar days, the committee shall be discharged from its further consideration and the bill shall be referred to the appropriate calendar.*

(b) CONSIDERATION IN THE SENATE.—