

Dredging and Wetland Restoration: Beneficial Reuse of Dredged Material: Who Pays?

Cost leveraging and cost reduction :

- Pairing projects (see examples) and cost leveraging
- Cost Leveraging: Bring in additional parties to the table
- Contributed Funds New Guidance April '12
- Permitting process adjustments (LTMS-DMMO)
- Permitting actions

Ellen Johnck, Ellen Johnck Consulting

Dredging and Wetland Restoration

Beneficial Reuse: Who Pays?

- Pairing Projects
 - Redwood City Harbor & Bair Island Restoration
 - Redwood City Harbor & South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project, e.g. Eden Landing Land Mass
 - S.F. Bay to Stockton & Bel Marin Keyes

Dredging & Wetland Restoration

Beneficial Reuse: Who Pays?

Redwood City Harbor & Eden Levee Actions

- Memorandum of Agreement bwt. USACE and Alameda County similar to USACE & USFWS—(add'l partner State Coastal Conservancy); Ala Cty requests permit to build containment berm for levee construction. USACE issues bid for dredging w/beneficial use site built
- S.F. Bay to Stockton & Bel Marin Keyes Actions (+DWR in Delta)
- Partnership agreement bwt. USACE and CSCC based on local sponsors' contributed funds picking up the 10% difference in local cost share bwt. 25 and 35%? CSCC requests permit for Bel Marin Keyes as beneficial reuse site. ATF vs. offloader will need resolution.
- Permit process adjustments; coordinate timing